Characterization of an M28 metalloprotease family member residing in the yeast vacuole
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Abstract

The systematic and complete characterization of the Saccharomyces cerevisiae genome and proteome has been stalled in some cases by misannotated genes. One such gene is YBR074W, which was initially annotated as two independent open reading frames (ORFs). We now report on Ybr074, a metalloprotease family member that was initially predicted to reside in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER). Therefore, we tested the hypothesis that Ybr074 may be an ER quality control protease. Instead, indirect immunofluorescence images indicate that Ybr074 is a vacuolar protein, and by employing protease protection assays, we demonstrate that a conserved M28 metalloprotease domain is oriented within the lumen. Involvement of Ybr074 in ER protein quality control was ruled out by examining the stabilities of several well-characterized substrates in strains lacking Ybr074. Finally, using a proteomic approach, we show that disrupting Ybr074 function affects the levels of select factors implicated in vacuolar trafficking and osmoregulation. Together, our data indicate that Ybr074 is the only multispanning vacuolar membrane protease found in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae.

Introduction

The yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae has long served as a broadly used model organism because its biology is representative of many organisms and because it benefits from comprehensive genetic and biochemical tools (Foury, 1997; Suter et al., 2006; Karathia et al., 2011). While great progress has been made toward elucidating the function of every gene since the yeast genome was sequenced in 1996, many genes remain uncharacterized, despite original projections that the genome would be ‘solved’ by 2007 (Gotheau et al., 1996; Pena-Castillo & Hughes, 2007). In a number of cases, this delay may be attributed to gene misannotation due to errors in the original genome sequencing (Brachat et al., 2003). Until these sequencing errors were corrected in 2003, misannotated genes were not accurately represented in large-scale analyses and strain libraries (Giaever et al., 2002; Huh et al., 2003), thus stalling their complete characterization. One such uncharacterized gene is YBR074W, which encodes a protein with a domain homologous to a putative human metalloprotease, ERMP1.

Metalloproteases are a highly diverse set of proteolytic enzymes distributed across families M1 through M91, each representing a set of homologous proteases (Rawlings et al., 2012). They are commonly identified by a conserved HEXXH active site motif that is required for catalysis and to coordinate metal ions. Metalloprotease activity is mediated by one or two divalent ions, often zinc, which activate water molecules for nucleophilic attack on substrate peptide bonds (Rawlings & Salvesen, 2013). Metalloproteases can be secreted into the extracellular space or reside intracellularly. Secreted matrix metalloproteases in humans are of particular interest because of their prominent role in remodeling of the extracellular matrix, a process associated with both normal physiology and pathology during development, wound healing, and cancer cell metastasis (Klein & Bischoff, 2011; Sbardella et al., 2012). Within the cell, metalloproteases play an important role in the maturation and trafficking of secreted proteins and in the turnover of long-lived, superfluous, or damaged proteins and organelles. The latter function occurs primarily in the lysosome, which is functionally analogous to the yeast vacuole (Li & Kane, 2009).
The yeast, *S. cerevisiae*, encodes 47 metalloproteases, including 7 nonpeptidase homologs that are predicted to lack enzymatic activity. *YBR074W* is predicted to encode a protein that belongs to the M28 family of metalloproteases, which is comprised of both amino- and carboxy-peptidases that require two zinc ions for activity (Rawlings *et al.*, 2012). The rat ortholog of Ybr074, named Felix-ina (FXNA), was reported to be an ER-localized protein involved in ovarian development (Garcia–Rudaz *et al.*, 2007).

Protease activity plays a critical role in vacuole function. The yeast vacuole is also critical for nutrient and ion storage, osmoregulation, and detoxification (Broach *et al.*, 1991; Li & Kane, 2009). To augment its role in the degradation of macromolecules, the vacuole in *S. cerevisiae* is equipped with seven known proteases, including the soluble metalloproteases aminopeptidase I (Ape1), aminopeptidase Y (Ape3), and carboxypeptidase S (Cps1).

In this study, we report on the initial characterization of Ybr074, a yeast M28 metalloprotease family member. We present evidence that Ybr074 is a glycosylated transmembrane protein with a luminal-facing protease domain. We also find evidence to support previous proteomic data suggesting that Ybr074 resides in the yeast vacuole (Wiederhold *et al.*, 2009), thus adding another protease to the repertoire of this organelle.

### Materials and methods

#### Computational analysis

The amino acid sequence of Ybr074 was analyzed using the default parameters of the Position-Specific Iterative Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (PSI-BLAST) to identify proteins in the nonredundant protein sequences database, which shared local sequence similarity with Ybr074 (Altschul *et al.*, 1997, 2005). The European Molecular Biology Library-European Bioinformatics Institute (EMBL-EBI), InterPro Scan sequence search tool and the PANTHER Classification System version 7.2 were also used to examine proteins related to Ybr074 using default parameters (Mi *et al.*, 2010).

The M28 protease domain of Ybr074, and its putative catalytic and zinc-binding residues, were identified using the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Conserved Domain Database (CDD) (Marchler-Bauer *et al.*, 2011). The M28 protease domain boundaries of Ybr074 were analyzed using Pfam version 26.0 (Punta *et al.*, 2012). Sequence similarity between Ybr074 and FXNA was examined using Align under default settings. The C-terminal domains of Ybr074 and FXNA were compared using Align global sequence comparison (Huang & Miller, 1991).

The presence and position of transmembrane segments, as well as the topology of Ybr074, were predicted using TMHMM v. 2.0 (Sonnhammer *et al.*, 1998). N-linked glycosylation sites were predicted using the NetNGlyc 1.0 server, under default settings, from the Eukaryotic Linear Motif (ELM) resource for functional sites in proteins (Blom *et al.*, 2004). The subcellular localization of Ybr074 was predicted using PSORT, PSORT II, and WoLF PSORT under default settings (Horton *et al.*, 2007).

#### Strains, plasmids, yeast growth conditions, and molecular techniques

Strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. Standard growth conditions and molecular techniques were used (Adams & Kaiser, 1998), unless otherwise indicated.

Deletion of *YBR074* in the BY4742 background was carried out using polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-mediated gene disruption (Brachmann *et al.*, 1998). Briefly, the BY4742 strain was transformed with a *ybr074::KANMX* cassette amplified from the pRS400 vector (forward primer: AAA TTA TCT ACA AGG AAA TAA ATT GAT AGG TAA AGT TAA AGA ATC ACG GCA GAT TGT ACT GAG AGT GCA C; reverse primer: CAG TAG GCG AAT TTG AGT GTA AAA AAA TTC ACA TTT AAA ACT AAT TAG AAC TGT GCG GTA TTT CAC ACC G). Transformants were selected on yeast extract/peptone containing 2% dextrose (YPD) and 250 μg/mL Geneticin (G-418; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Colonies incorporating the *ybr074::KANMX* cassette were verified by PCR using primers flanking the *YBR074* open reading frame (ORF) (upstream primer: CAA TGA CGC CAA ATA TGG ACA CT; downstream primer: AAG AGA GCA CCG TAG AAT GGT T). To generate the *ybr074::NATMX* strain, the *NATMX* cassette was PCR amplified from the pFA6a-NAT-MX6 vector using forward primer: CAA GGA GAT AAA TTG ATA GGT AAA GTT AAA GAA TCA CGG CGG GAT CCC CGG GGT ATT AAT TAA and reverse primer: ATT TGA GGT TAT AAA AAT TTA CAT TTA AAA CTA ATT AGA AGA GCT GTG TTA AAG TAT TGG ATG (Vembar *et al.*, 2010). The NATMX cassette was transformed into the BY4742 strain as described (Adams & Kaiser, 1998). Cells were selected on YPD medium supplemented with nourseothricin (NAT; clonNAT, Werner BioAgents, Jena, Germany), and integration of the NATMX cassette was verified by PCR using the same primers used to check for integration of the KANMX cassette.

A chromosomally integrated *pGAL1-GFP-YBR074* strain was generated using the integration vector pFA6a-HisMX6-pGAL1-GFP, as described (Longtine *et al.*, 1998). Briefly, the HisMX6-pGAL1-GFP cassette was amplified using the following primers: forward primer,
Table 1. Yeast strains used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Source or reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BY4742</td>
<td>MAT α his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 lys2Δ0 ura3Δ0</td>
<td>Brachmann et al. (1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ybr074Δ</td>
<td>MAT α ybr074::NATMX his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 lys2Δ0 ura3Δ0</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KHY1</td>
<td>MAT α ybr074::His3MX6-PGAL1-GFP-YBR074 his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 lys2Δ0 ura3Δ0</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pep4Δ</td>
<td>MAT α pep4::KANMX his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 lys2Δ0 ura3Δ0</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pdr5Δ</td>
<td>MAT α pdr5::KANMX his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 lys2Δ0 ura3Δ0</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AAA TTA TCT ACA AGG AAA TAA ATT GAT AGG TAA AGT TAA AGA ATC ACG GGC AAT TCG AGC TCG TTT AAA C; reverse primer, TTT GTT TCC CGG TAC TTT AGA ACT GAT CTT AAT ACA CTT TTT AAT TTT ATG TAT AGT TCA TCC ATG C, and the resulting DNA fragment was transformed into the BY4742 strain. Transformed cells were selected on synthetic medium lacking histidine. Integration of the His3MX6-P_{GAL1}-GFP cassette was confirmed by amplification of a region upstream of YBR074 and internal to the His3MX6-P_{GAL1}-GFP cassette (forward primer: CAA TGA CGC CAA ATA TGG ACA CT; reverse primer: TTT GTA TAG TTC ATC AGT TGG ACA ATG CT). This construct was verified by DNA sequence analysis (IDT, Coralville, IA).

Plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 2. To generate a HA-tagged YBR074 constructs, YBR074 was PCR amplified from vector pGp564_1_b11 from the yeast overexpression plasmid library (Jones et al., 2008). PCR amplified YBR074 with a C-terminal HA tag (forward primer: ATG GAG AGC TCG ACA AGT GGC CTG GAT TTA CAA AAG AAA ATG AAT GA; reverse primer: CAA TGA CGC CAA ATA TGG ACA CT; reverse primer: TTT GTA TAG TTC ATC CAT GC) and amplified with a C-terminal HA tag (forward primer: ATG GAG AGC TCG ACA AGT GGC CTG GAT TTA CAA AAG AAA ATG AAT GA; reverse primer: CAA TGA CGC CAA ATA TGG ACA CT; reverse primer: TTT GTA TAG TTC ATC CAT GC) from purified genomic DNA (Adams & Kaiser, 1998).

Plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 2. To generate a HA-tagged YBR074 constructs, YBR074 was PCR amplified from vector pGp564_1_b11 from the yeast overexpression plasmid library (Jones et al., 2008). PCR amplified YBR074 with a C-terminal HA tag (forward primer: ATG GAG AGC TCG ACA AGT GGC CTG GAT TTA CAA AAG AAA ATG AAT GA; reverse primer: CAA TGA CGC CAA ATA TGG ACA CT; reverse primer: TTT GTA TAG TTC ATC CAT GC) and amplified with a C-terminal HA tag (forward primer: ATG GAG AGC TCG ACA AGT GGC CTG GAT TTA CAA AAG AAA ATG AAT GA; reverse primer: CAA TGA CGC CAA ATA TGG ACA CT; reverse primer: TTT GTA TAG TTC ATC CAT GC) from purified genomic DNA (Adams & Kaiser, 1998).

Table 2. Plasmids used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plasmid</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Source or reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pRS426</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRS426-M28HA</td>
<td>M28 protease domain insertion without disruption</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analysis of protein topology

Protease protection assays were performed using microsomes derived from wild-type yeast expressing HA-tagged YBR074 from its endogenous promoter on a 2µ plasmid. Microsomes were isolated using the 'medium' preparation method (Nakatsukasa & Brodsky, 2010). Reactions were performed in B88 (20 mM HEPES, pH 6.8, 150 mM potassium acetate, 5 mM magnesium acetate, 250 mM sorbitol) using 100–500 µg of total microsomal protein, and were incubated in the presence or absence of 100 µg/mL protease K (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) supplemented with 1 mM CaCl₂ and 1% Triton X-100. Reactions treated with 0.5% SDS were performed in B88 lacking potassium acetate. Reactions were incubated on ice, and 25 µL fractions were taken at the indicated times and precipitated in 10% trichloroacetic acid (TCA) for 15 min on ice. Samples taken at 0 min were collected prior to addition of Proteinase K, except in Fig. S2, when the 0 min...
GFP-Ybr074 sample was collected immediately after addition of Proteinase K. Precipitated proteins were pelleted and washed in acetone and then resuspended in 60 µL TCA sample buffer (80 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8, 8 mM EDTA, 120 mM DTT, 3.5% SDS, 10% glycerol, 0.08% Tris base, 0.01% Bromophenol Blue). Proteins were resolved by 10% SDS-PAGE, transferred to nitrocellulose, and detected using the following primary antibodies: 1:5000 HRP-conjugated mouse anti-HA (Roche, Bangalore, India), 1:1000 rabbit anti-Bos1 (Barlowe lab, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA), or 1:5000 HRP-conjugated mouse anti-HA (Roche, Bangalore, India) and washed in acetone and then resuspended in 60 µL of sterile fil-

### Table 2. Plasmids used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plasmid Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Selectable Marker</th>
<th>Source or reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pG564_1_b11</td>
<td>Zip expression chromosome II: 378129-393468, including YBR074</td>
<td>LEU2</td>
<td>Jones et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pKN16</td>
<td>pRS316-PGAL1/10-3HA expression vector</td>
<td>URA3</td>
<td>K. Nakatsukasa, Nagoya University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRS426-3HA-YBR074</td>
<td>Ybr074 expression</td>
<td>URA3</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRS426-3HA-YBR074</td>
<td>Ybr074 expression</td>
<td>URA3</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRS426-3HA-YBR074-M2HA</td>
<td>Ybr074 expression</td>
<td>URA3</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFP-Atg8</td>
<td>Autophagy reporter</td>
<td>URA3</td>
<td>D. Klionsky, University of Michigan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Determination of glycosylation status and membrane residence**

The presence of N-linked glycans on Ybr074 was tested by digestion with Endoglycosidase H (Roche). Microsomes were prepared as described above from a BY4742 strain expressing HA-tagged YBR074 from its endogenous promoter on a CEN plasmid. Approximately 100 µg of protein was incubated in 50 mM citrate buffer, pH 5.5, 1 mM PMSF, 1 µg/mL leupeptin, and 0.5 µg/mL pepstatin A (Sigma) in the presence or absence of 0.005 units of endoglycosidase H overnight at 4 °C. Digested protein was resolved by 10% SDS-PAGE and detected by Western blot analysis using 1:5000 mouse anti-HA (Roche) and 1:5000 rabbit anti-Pdi1 (Denic Lab, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA). The bound antibodies were visualized using Super Signal West Femto kit (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL) on a Kodak 440CF Image Station (Eastman Kodak, Rochester, NY).

**Protein localization**

Immunofluorescence microscopy was performed, as described previously, with minor modifications (Adams & Kaiser, 1998). Spheroplasted cells were incubated in polylysin coated wells for 10 min at room temperature. Cells were then washed three times with 30 µL of sterile filtered blocking solution (PBS, pH 7.4, 0.5% BSA, 0.5% ovalbumin, 0.01% fish skin gelatin; Sigma) supplemented with 0.1% Triton X-100 (Sigma). Cells were then incubated in blocking solution, including Triton X-100, for 30 min in a humidified chamber, followed by an overnight incubation with 1:500 mouse anti-HA (Roche) and 1:500 rabbit anti-Kar2 (Brodsky et al., 1993), or 1:100 rabbit anti-HA (Cell Signaling Technology, Beverly, MA) and 1:750 mouse anti-Vph1 (Invitrogen/Molecular Probes, Carlsbad, CA) overnight at 4 °C in a humidified chamber. The next day, cells were washed four times with 30 µL blocking solution and incubated with 1:250 Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-mouse IgG and 1:250 Alexa Fluor 568 goat anti-rabbit IgG (Invitrogen, Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR). Images were visualized using a Leica TCS SP5 (Leica microsystems, Buffalo Grove, IL).

Live cell imaging of GFP-Ybr074 was examined in the BY4742 strain with chromosomally integrated GFP-Ybr074 under the control of a galactose promoter and selected using the HIS3MX gene as described previously.
Cells were grown in medium lacking histidine and supplemented with 2% galactose to express a C-terminal or N-terminal FLAG-tagged FXNA construct that was a generous gift from Dr S. Ojeda (Oregon Health and Science University, Portland, OR). Briefly, N-terminally FLAG-tagged FXNA was amplified from the pcDNA3.1Neo-FXNA-FLAG template using forward primer: ATC GGG ATC CAT GGA CTA CAA AGA CGA TGA CCA GAA GGA GTG GTC GGC GGC CGT and reverse primer: CGA TGA TAT CTT AAA ACG CCC AGG AGG GAA ATG AC. Amplified FLAG-FXNA was inserted into pcDNA3.1Neo vector using the BamHI/EcoRV sites, and the construct was confirmed by DNA sequencing analysis. The N- and C-terminally FLAG-tagged FXNA constructs were transformed into COS7 cells using lipofectamine 2000, according to the manufacturer’s instruction (Invitrogen). Transfected cells were grown on coverslips in a 12-well dish, rinsed with PBS, fixed using 3% paraformaldehyde, and rinsed with PBS supplemented with 10 mM glycine (PBS-G). The cells were permeabilized at room temperature using 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS-G followed by another rinse in PBS-G. Nonspecific binding sites were blocked using 0.25% ovalbumin in PBS-G at room temperature. Next, the coverslips were incubated at room temperature for 30 min with polyclonal anti-FLAG antibody (1:500 dilution; Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA), monoclonal anti-PDI antibody (1:500 dilution; Enzo Life Sciences, Ann Arbor, MI), and anti-Lamp1 antibody (1:500 dilution; Abcam, Cambridge, MA). The coverslips were washed in blocking buffer and then incubated for 30 min with secondary antibodies Alexa Fluor goat anti-mouse 488 (1:250, Invitrogen), and Alexa Fluor goat anti-rabbit 568 (1:250, Invitrogen). Finally, the coverslips were washed in PBS-G and mounted onto slides. Images were captured using an Olympus BX60 fluorescence microscope and QED InVivo software.

Proteomic analysis
To identify putative Ybr074 substrates, and/or proteins whose levels may be regulated by Ybr074, an analysis of a GFP-tagged protein library in the ybr074Δ strain background was conducted as described (Cohen & Schuldiner, 2011). Briefly, a ybr074Δ::NATMX MATa strain was mated with the cytosolic mCherry-tagged GFP collection (Breker et al., 2013) using synthetic genetic array (SGA) techniques as described (Tong & Boone, 2006; Cohen & Schuldiner, 2011). Haploid cells expressing a GFP-tagged protein in the ybr074Δ background were selected and grown to logarithmic growth phase in synthetic complete medium at 30 °C in 384-well growth plates. Cells were then transferred to 384-well microscope plates (Matrical Bioscience, Spokane, WA) and visualized using an automated inverted fluorescence microscope ScanR system (Olympus) using a swap robot (Hamilton). Images were then manually reviewed for localization information and analyzed for fluorescence intensity using the ScanR analysis program and processed by Adobe Photoshop CS3 software.

Results
Ybr074 is a glycosylated transmembrane protein bearing homology to the M28 family of metalloproteases
As an initial characterization of Ybr074, we examined the predicted localization and topology of this protein using various computational prediction programs. Ybr074 was found to be a type III membrane protein comprised of 9 predicted helical transmembrane segments (TMs) based on analysis using TMHMM v.2.0 (Supporting Information, Fig. S1) (Krogh et al., 2001). PSORT and PSORTII predictions place Ybr074 in the ER membrane with a cytosolic N-terminus and a lumenal C-terminus (Nakai & Horton, 1999; Horton et al., 2007). Analysis of Ybr074 using the protein family database (Pfam) reveals a conserved M28 metalloprotease domain between TM1 and TM2 (Leu151-Leu314) facing the endomembrane system lumen (Fig. 1a). Furthermore, 10 predicted luminal-facing N-linked glycosylation sites dispersed throughout the protein were identified using NetNGlyc v.1.0 (Fig. 1b).

To examine whether Ybr074 is modified by N-linked glycans, as predicted, crude membrane preparations were isolated from wild-type yeast expressing an N-terminally HA-tagged form of Ybr074 (HA-Ybr074). The membranes were then incubated in the absence or presence of endoglycosidase H (Endo H) to remove N-linked glycans. Treatment with Endo H resulted in a shift in the molecular mass of Ybr074 from c. 147 kDa to c. 123 kDa.
Fig. 1c). Assuming that each N-linked glycan contributes 3 kDa to the total molecular mass of Ybr074, this allows us to estimate the presence of 8 N-linked glycans. Because N-linked glycosylation of secretory proteins occurs in the ER, this result is consistent with the fact that Ybr074 enters through the ER (Helenius & Aebi, 2004; Aebi et al., 2010; Schwarz & Aebi, 2011). However, these data do not inform on the final destination of this endomembrane system protein.

To confirm that Ybr074 is an integral transmembrane protein, crude membrane extracts containing HA-Ybr074 were subjected to sodium carbonate extraction to disrupt electrostatic interactions that mediate peripheral membrane protein associations with the lipid bilayer. As anticipated, the peripheral ER lumenal protein, Pdi1 (Lambert & Freedman, 1985; Mizunaga et al., 1990), was released from the membrane upon treatment with sodium carbonate and partitioned to the soluble fraction (Fig. 1d). In contrast, the integral ER membrane protein, Sec61 (Stirling et al., 1992), remained associated with the pellet after treatment with sodium carbonate. Similarly, Ybr074 remained associated with the membrane fraction, indicating that Ybr074 is an integral membrane protein, as predicted.

**Ybr074 has a lumenal-facing protease domain**

To determine the topology of Ybr074, sensitivity to digestion by proteinase K was examined using Ybr074 constructs in which an HA epitope tag was appended either at the N-terminus, adjacent to the protease domain, or at the C-terminus (see arrowheads, Fig. 1b). Crude membrane fractions containing each tagged form of Ybr074 were incubated in the absence or presence of endo H. As a control, Pdi1 was resistant to proteinase K-mediated degradation throughout the 20-min time course. In contrast, the
cytosolic-facing tail-anchored protein, Bos1 was proteinase K sensitive (Fig. 2a) (Newman et al., 1992). Although the N-terminus of Ybr074 is computationally predicted to be cytosolic, the Ybr074 fragment containing an N-terminal HA tag was protected from proteinase K-mediated degradation (Fig. 2b). However, when the larger GFP tag was appended to the N-terminus of Ybr074, it became proteinase-sensitive, suggesting the GFP tag is oriented toward the cytosol (Fig. S2). One explanation for this discrepancy is that the smaller HA epitope is protected from proteinase K digestion by its proximity to the membrane or by tertiary interactions within Ybr074.

Both the HA tag adjacent to the protease domain and that appended to the C-terminus were protected from proteinase K digestion (Fig. 2c and d), suggesting they are oriented within the lumen. Please note that the Ybr074 fragment shown in Fig. 2d ran at c. 56 kDa in contrast to higher molecular mass species present in Fig. 2b and c. However, these protease-protected Ybr074 fragments remained insensitive to digestion even when, in principle, the membrane was disrupted by addition of Triton X-100. Degradation was only achieved when SDS was substituted as the detergent. The simplest explanation for this phenomenon, and one that is consistent with the heavily glycosylated protease and C-terminal domains of Ybr074, is that these HA epitopes may stably fold and/or aggregate during protease digestion and are proteinase K resistant unless they are denatured by SDS. To differentiate between these possibilities, proteinase K-digested Ybr074 fragments were treated in the absence or presence of Endo H to remove N-linked glycans, which can only be appended to lumenal-facing regions of Ybr074. The protease domain of Ybr074 is predicted to be modified by four N-linked glycans, while the C-terminal domain is predicted to be modified by five N-linked glycans. In both cases, treatment with Endo H resulted in a shift in molecular mass of the HA-containing peptides (Fig. 3a–c; note shifts in molecular mass across lanes 3 and 4). These collective results strongly suggest that the protease domain and C-terminal domain are lumenal, as predicted.

**Ybr074 resides in the vacuole**

To determine the subcellular localization of Ybr074, the three HA-tagged forms of Ybr074 were subjected to indirect immunofluorescence microscopy. HA-Ybr074 and Ybr074 tagged at the M28 protease domain (Ybr074-M28HA) both strongly co-localized with the vacuolar protein, Vph1 (Kawasaki-Nishi et al., 2001) (Fig. 4a). In contrast, the C-terminally HA-tagged Ybr074 construct (Ybr074-HA) co-localized with the ER molecular chaperone, Kar2 (Rose et al., 1989) (Fig. 4b). The vacuolar localization of HA-Ybr074 and Ybr074-M28HA was unexpected in light of both the computational predictions and the fact that a C-terminally FLAG-tagged rat ortholog, FXNA, overexpressed in COS7 cells appeared to be ER-localized (Garcia-Rudaz et al., 2007). However, it is worth noting that the overexpression of heterologous membrane proteins may cause them to aberrantly accumulate in the ER. Nevertheless, we considered the possibility that the C-terminal placement of the epitope tag on both Ybr074 and FXNA may directly affect their localization. Indirect immunofluorescence of both N-terminally and C-terminally FLAG-tagged FXNA constructs showed that FXNA co-localizes with the ER marker PDI in COS7 cells, regardless of where the FLAG epitope was appended (Fig. S3). Therefore, vacuolar localization appears to be unique to Ybr074. Consistent with this view, live cell imaging of N-terminally GFP-tagged Ybr074 in yeast
indicated vacuolar localization (Fig. S4), suggesting that vacuolar localization of Ybr074 is not specific to the HA epitope tag. Notably, GFP-Ybr074 fluorescence was present as a vacuolar ring and did not occupy the vacuolar lumen. This is consistent with protease protection data indicating the N-terminal GFP tag is cytosolic (Fig. S2). In further agreement with vacuolar localization of Ybr074 observed herein, a previous proteomic study demonstrated that native untagged Ybr074 can be found in highly enriched vacuolar membranes (Wiederhold et al., 2009).

Vacuolar Ybr074 is more stable than ER-localized Ybr074

If the C-terminally tagged form of Ybr074 was illegitimately localized to the ER, we hypothesized that this ER-localized form of Ybr074 may exhibit decreased stability. Proteins that accumulate in the ER and are not ER residents may be degraded by the ER-associated degradation (ERAD) pathway in a proteasome-dependent manner (Ward et al., 1995; McCracken & Brodsky, 1996). To test this hypothesis, we conducted cycloheximide chase analyses comparing the stabilities of HA-Ybr074 and Ybr074-HA. In a wild-type strain, ER-localized Ybr074-HA was significantly less stable than vacuolar HA-Ybr074 (Fig. 4c), indicating that the ER-localized Ybr074-HA is targeted for degradation. To examine whether degradation is dependent on vacuolar function, cycloheximide chase analyses were conducted in a pep4Δ strain, in which c. 90% of the proteolytic activity of the vacuole is compromised (Hemmings et al., 1980). We found that Ybr074-HA was now degraded to the same extent as observed for HA-Ybr074 (Fig. 4c). Similarly, inhibition of 26S proteasome activity using MG132 in a drug efflux pump mutant strain, pdr5Δ, resulted in stabilization of Ybr074-HA (Fig. 4d). These combined results indicate that the aberrantly ER-localized Ybr074-HA is unstable and that its degradation is dependent on both vacuolar proteases and the proteasome. The addition of a C-terminal epitope tag to Ybr074 may be contributing to ER retention by altering the conformation of Ybr074 in a way that targets it for degradation.

Ybr074 is required neither for autophagy nor for ER-associated degradation

To elucidate the biological function of Ybr074, an ybr074Δ strain was challenged using conditions that require vacuolar-mediated osmoregulation (i.e., media supplemented with calcofluor white, congo red, or 1M NaCl) (Nass & Rao, 1999; Ram & Klis, 2006) and conditions known to induce the cytoplasmic and ER protein quality control machineries (i.e., heat stress and media supplemented with DTT or tunicamycin) (Elbein, 1984; Jamsa et al., 1994; Morimoto, 1998). No growth phenotypes were identified under these conditions, suggesting that Ybr074 may be acting redundantly with other yeast proteases. To examine this possibility, candidate genes...
were disrupted in conjunction with YBR074, including genes encoding the vacuolar serine protease, Pep4, and another vacuolar M28 metalloprotease, Ape3 (Biagini & Puigserver, 2001; Rawlings et al., 2012). Although growth phenotypes were absent in the double mutant strains, we employed these protease double mutant strains to examine more specifically whether there was an effect on autophagy, particularly since Ybr074 appeared to reside in the yeast vacuole. To this end, we used the GFP-Atg8 reporter to monitor the fusion between autophagosomes and the vacuole under conditions of nitrogen starvation, which triggers a Pep4-dependent clipping of GFP from the GFP-Atg8 fusion protein (Nair et al., 2011). As shown in Fig. 5, we found that this event was proficient in each of the strains, except when PEPC4 and ATG1 were deleted as controls. We also examined the maturation of the vacuolar protease preApe1 under these same conditions and found that the Pep4-dependent proteolytic processing of preApe1 was unaffected by Ybr074 disruption.

Because Ybr074 harbors a luminal protease domain and passes through the early secretory pathway, we next assessed the role of Ybr074 in ER protein quality control. To this end, we examined the degradation of four well-characterized ERAD substrates in wild-type and ybr074 strains. However, there was no significant stabilization of any of these ERAD substrates, whether they were soluble, membrane-anchored, or integral membrane proteins (Fig. 6a–d). Consistent with these data, loss of YBR074 failed to activate an unfolded protein response (data not shown). Together, our results suggest that Ybr074 functions in the vacuole to degrade specific substrates and/or acts in a complementary manner with other vacuolar proteases to facilitate protein turnover in this organelle under as yet undefined conditions.

Fig. 4. Ybr074 localization and stability depends on the placement of the HA epitope tag. (a) N-terminally HA-tagged Ybr074 (HA-Ybr074) and M28 metalloprotease domain HA-tagged Ybr074 (Ybr074-M28HA) co-localize with the vacuolar marker Vph1. The vacuole is visualized by differential interference contrast (DIC) imaging, and the nucleus is evident by DAPI staining. (b) The C-terminally HA-tagged form of Ybr074 (Ybr074-HA) co-localizes with the ER chaperone, Kar2. DIC images depict the vacuole. The nucleus is marked with DAPI staining. (c) C-terminally-tagged Ybr074 (Ybr074-HA) is unstable in comparison with the N-terminally tagged form of the protein (HA-Ybr074). Cycloheximide chase analyses were performed as described in Materials and Methods in a wild-type (WT) strain expressing HA-Ybr074 (●), or Ybr074-HA (○), and in a pep4 strain expressing HA-Ybr074 (▲), or Ybr074-HA (Δ), and (d) in a pdr5 strain expressing HA-Ybr074 treated with the 26S proteasome inhibitor MG132 (▼) or DMSO (●) or expressing Ybr074-HA treated with MG132 (▲) or DMSO (○). Quantified data represent the means of independent experiments using three to eight colonies, ± SEM. Where error bars are not visible, the SEM is less than the size of the symbol. Bottom panels are representative images from the chase analyses.
is required for sorting of vacuolar proteases, was identified by the Boone lab in a negative genetic interaction with Ybr074 (personal communication) (Robinson et al., 1988; Tong & Boone, 2006). Relieving Gyp1-mediated inhibition of Ypt51 may facilitate trafficking of vacuolar proteases to compensate for loss of Ybr074 activity. Overall, these proteomic data provide further support for a role of Ybr074 in vacuole physiology in the yeast S. cerevisiae.

**Discussion**

Through the collective efforts of yeast researchers, c. 80% of the yeast genome was characterized as of 2007 (Pena-Castillo & Hughes, 2007). However, the endeavor to elucidate the remainder of the yeast genome has been hindered in some cases by gene misannotation. This study represents the initial characterization of a putative metalloprotease encoded by one such gene, known as YBR074. As designated by the PANTHER protein classification system, Ybr074 is a member of the FXNA-related family of proteins (PTHR12147) (Thomas et al., 2003) and we propose to name this gene Pf1 (Protease in FXNA-related Family 1). The work presented here demonstrates that Pf1 is an N-glycosylated integral membrane protein that resides in the vacuole. We also found that the putative protease domain in Pf1 faces the lumen. As a prelude to future investigations, we then conducted a proteomic analysis that revealed intriguing links between Pf1, vacuolar sorting, and osmoregulation.

Although Pf1 was initially predicted to be an ER-localized protein, we have presented compelling evidence demonstrating vacuolar localization. First, we showed that Pf1, HA-tagged at the N-terminus or adjacent to its protease domain, co-localized with the vacuolar marker Vph1. Second, we showed that this localization was not unique to the HA epitope tagged form of Pf1, as N-terminally GFP-tagged Pf1 also exhibited vacuolar localization. Third, we showed that C-terminally HA-tagged Pf1, which accumulated in the ER, was highly unstable and degraded. Finally, a previously published proteomic analysis found that untagged Pf1 was enriched in a vacuolar membrane preparation (Wiederhold et al., 2009). In contrast to the situation in yeast, we also found that both N- and C-terminally FLAG-tagged FXNA were ER-localized in COS7 cells, consistent with previously published work (Garcia-Rudaz et al., 2007). In light of this discrepancy between the localization of the yeast and rat orthologs, we noted that while Pf1 was expressed from its endogenous promoter, FXNA was highly overexpressed from the CMV promoter (Garcia-Rudaz et al., 2007; Chen et al., 2011). Since overexpression of FXNA may contribute to its ER retention, reexamining the localization of FXNA expressed from its endogenous promoter is required for sorting of vacuolar proteases, was identified by the Boone lab in a negative genetic interaction with Ybr074 (personal communication) (Robinson et al., 1988; Tong & Boone, 2006). Relieving Gyp1-mediated inhibition of Ypt51 may facilitate trafficking of vacuolar proteases to compensate for loss of Ybr074 activity. Overall, these proteomic data provide further support for a role of Ybr074 in vacuole physiology in the yeast S. cerevisiae.

**Proteomic analysis hints at links between Ybr074 and vacuolar processes**

To identify factors whose levels are controlled by Ybr074 activity, we conducted a proteomic analysis comparing GFP-tagged protein levels in a wild-type and ybr074Δ strain. Proteins that accumulated or showed reduced levels in response to YBR074 deletion are shown in Table S1 and Table S2, respectively. Of particular interest was accumulation of the hydrophilin protein, Gre1, which is upregulated in response to osmotic stress (Garay-Arroyo & Covarrubias, 1999). Since vacuolar functions, including membrane fusion and ion transport, are important in maintaining osmolarity in yeast, accumulation of Gre1 may occur as a result of impaired osmoregulatory function resulting from loss of Ybr074 activity (Nass & Rao, 1999; Hohmann, 2002). Intriguingly, levels of GTPase Activating Protein (GAP), Gyp1, were diminished in response to YBR074 deletion. Gyp1 associates with Rab GTPases Sec4, Ypt1, Ypt7, and Ypt51 (Vps21) and has been shown to inhibit the activity of both Ypt1 (in vivo) and Ypt51 (in vitro) (Du & Novick, 2001; Brett & Merz, 2008). Intriguingly, yeast respond to osmotic stress by inhibiting early Rab-dependent docking and predocking events (Brett & Merz, 2008). Furthermore, Ypt51, which

Fig. 5. Autophagy is not compromised in a ybr074Δ strain. (a) Cells expressing GFP-Atg8 were subjected to nitrogen starvation conditions for 2 h at 30 °C, and the amount of released GFP was determined by Western blot analysis. Glucose 6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PDH) was used as a loading control. Clipping of the GFP-Atg8 construct is shown in wild-type and ybr074Δ yeast, but is absent in pep4Δ, ybr074Δ pep4Δ strains derived from independent matings (‘2A’ and ‘12C’), and an atg1Δ (autophagy deficient) strain. (b) Extracts from the same cells from part (a) were examined for maturation of the vacuolar protease preApe1 by Western blot analysis, and G6PDH was used as a loading control.
may clarify this question. On the other hand, this variation in subcellular localization may reflect a species-specific difference in protein targeting. Notably, Pff1 shares 32.5% sequence identity with FXNA across the M28 protease domain and 29.8% sequence identity across the entire sequence, but Pff1 has an N-terminal transmembrane helix that is absent in FXNA (Fig. S1). Furthermore, the C-terminal domains of Pff1 and FXNA are more divergent, sharing only 13% sequence identity (Myers & Miller, 1989). It is possible that these variations may contribute to the differential targeting of Pff1 and FXNA by some mechanism yet to be defined.

Based on our studies, we propose to add Pff1 to the repertoire of the seven known vacuolar proteases. This raises the question of why an eighth protease, such as Pff1, might be expressed in this compartment. To answer this question, we considered one characteristic that makes Pff1 unique from other vacuolar proteases. Namely, Pff1 is the only multipass transmembrane protease identified in the vacuole. This feature may enable Pff1 to interact with, and potentially modulate the activity of other proteins found in the vacuolar membrane, including vacuolar sorting factors, fusion proteins, and transporters. In fact, the results of our proteomic analysis hint at the possible involvement of Pff1 in vacuolar-mediated osmoregulation. In this context, loss of Pff1 activity might be expected to induce the high osmolarity glycerol pathway or alter vacuolar fusion events under conditions of osmotic stress. Therefore, future work examining these hypotheses may reveal an effect of Pff1 on yeast osmoregulation or vacuolar biology under specific conditions.
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**Supporting Information**

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article:

**Fig. S1.** Sequence alignment of Ybr074 compared with the human ortholog ERMP1 and the rat ortholog FXNA.
**Fig. S2.** Topological analysis of GFP-tagged Ybr074.
**Fig. S3.** FXNA ER-localization does not vary with the position of the HA epitope tag in COS7 cells.
**Fig. S4.** Live cell imaging of yeast expressing N-terminally GFP-tagged Ybr074.
**Table S1.** Genes whose GFP-tagged protein products were found to accumulate in a ybr074Δ strain.
**Table S2.** Genes whose GFP-tagged protein products exhibit diminished levels in a ybr074Δ strain.